

## Review procedure

1. Submissions that do not comply with the formal or editorial requirements are not accepted by the editors and are returned to authors.
2. The assessment of each manuscript is entrusted to two reviewers from outside of the author's affiliating institution.
3. As a rule, at least one of the reviewers should have the affiliation in a country other than the country of the author's affiliation.
4. Reviewing is performed as a double-blind review process, which means that both reviewers and authors remain their anonymity.
5. The review has a written form and is accomplished using the review form available from the website of the Journal.
6. If a reviewer suggests that changes are to be made in the manuscript, Editorial Office passes the reviewer's comments to the author.
7. If the article receives two negative reviews, the Editor informs the author that the article is not published.
8. If the article receives two conflicting reviews - one positive and the other negative - the decision as to publication of the paper belongs to Chairman of the Editorial Board, who may consult the other members of the Editorial Board.
9. The list of reviewers is published once a year in the year's last issue of the Journal.